arXiv:1603.09413v1 [math.AG] 30 Mar 2016

ON VARIETIES WITH TRIVIAL TANGENT BUNDLE

KIRTI JOSHI

Abstract. I prove a crystalline characterization of abelian varieties in characteristic $p > 0$ amongst the class of varieties with trivial tangent bundle. I show using my characterization that a smooth, projective, ordinary variety with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety if and only if its second crystalline cohomology is torsion free. I also show that a conjecture of Ke-Zheng Li about characteristic $p > 0$ varieties with trivial tangent bundles is true for surfaces. I give a new proof of a result of Li and prove a refinement of it. Based on my characterization of abelian varieties I propose modifications of Li's conjecture which I expect to be true.

And here I stand, with all my lore,
Poor fool, no wiser than before.

Goethe, Faust part I

1. Introduction

Let k be a field and let X be a smooth projective variety over k. For $k = \mathbb{C}$ it is well-known, and elementary to prove, that if X has trivial tangent bundle, then X is an abelian variety. In [Igusa, 1955] it was shown that this is false in characteristic $p > 0$. [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987] studied ordinary varieties with trivial tangent bundle and proved that they have many properties similar to abelian varieties, including the Serre-Tate theory of canonical liftings. In Theorem 2.4, I present two equivalent *crystalline characterizations* of abelian varieties amongst the class of varieties with trivial tangent bundle. My characterization is the following: a smooth, projective variety X with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety if and only if it has a smooth Picard scheme and it satisfies Hodge symmetry in dimension one (I call such a variety *Picard-Hodge Symmetric*, see Def 2.3). Another equivalent characterization is given in terms of what I call *minimally Mazur-Ogus varieties* (see Def 2.1). A smooth, projective variety is a minimally Mazur-Ogus variety if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsionfree and Hodge de Rham spectral sequence degenerates in dimension one. In Corollary 2.11 I show that any smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle which lifts to W_2 and with $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ torsionfree is an abelian variety. In Remark 2.13 I discuss a natural question raised by Li in his emails to me about weakening the hypothesis of Theorem 2.4.

In [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1] it is conjectured that if $p > 3$ then every smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety. I show in Theorem 3.1 that this conjecture is true in dimension two.

In dimension two, the most famous example of a surface in characteristic $p = 2$ with trivial tangent bundle and which is not an abelian variety is due to [Igusa, 1955] (Igusa surface for $p = 2$ has been studied by many authors including Torsten Ekedahl; for a recent treatment of the Igusa surface see [Chai]). Let me note that the Igusa surface of characteristic $p = 2$ also has a less well-known cousin in characteristic $p = 3$.

I observe in Theorem 3.6 that if $p = 2$ then for every $g \geq 2$ and for every $1 \leq r < g$, there is a family of varieties dimension g with trivial tangent bundle and which are not abelian varieties. This family is parameterized by $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{ord}}[p] \times \mathcal{A}_{g-r}$ where $\mathcal{A}_g$ is the moduli stack of abelian varieties of dimension g and the superscript 'ord' stands for the "ordinary locus" and $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{ord}}[p]$ is the moduli stack of ordinary abelian varieties with a point of order p. For $p = 3$ one has a slightly weaker result–see Theorem 3.8.

In Remark 3.9, I note that the two conditions: minimally Mazur-Ogus, Picard-Hodge symmetry in Theorem 2.4 cannot be weakened or relaxed. In general, presence of torsion in crystalline cohomology and non-degeneration of Hodge de Rham are not correlated conditions.

In Theorem 4.1, I show that a smooth, projective, ordinary variety with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety if and only if its second crystalline cohomology is torsion free.

In [Li, 2010, Theorem 4.2] (also see [Li, 1991]) it is shown that if $p > 2$ and X is ordinary with trivial tangent bundle then X is an abelian variety. In Theorem 5.2, I give a new proof of Li's remarkable theorem [Li, 2010, Theorem 4.2] and in fact I prove a sharpening of [Li, 2010, Theorem 4.2] and of [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987]. I show that for $p = 2$ any smooth, projective, ordinary variety with trivial tangent bundle has a minimal Galois étale cover (see Def. 5.1) by an abelian variety with Galois group of exponent $p = 2$. Li's approach is based on infinitesimal group actions while I use Serre-Tate canonical liftings (of abelian varieties) and the theory of complex multiplication and its influence on the slopes of Frobenius (see [Yu, 2003]).

In the light of my characterization (Theorem 2.4), especially as torsion in the second crystalline cohomology can occur for any prime p, it seems to me that perhaps the original conjecture of Li (see [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1]) needs to be modified. In fact there are two different versions of Li's conjecture which I conjecture. The first version is the fixed characteristic version which says that there exists an integer $n_1(p)$ such that if X is any variety of dimension less than $n_1(p)$, with trivial tangent bundle over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ is an abelian variety (see Conjecture 6.3).

The fixed dimension version (see Conjecture 6.1), inspired by [Liedtke, 2009], says that for any fixed integer $d \geq 2$. There exists an integer $n_0(d)$ such that any smooth, projective variety X/k with dimension d and with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety if $p > n_0(d)$. (Clearly for $d = 1$, one has $n_0(1) = 1$; for $d = 2$, $n_0(2) = 3$ by Theorem 3.1).

I would like to thank Vikram Mehta for bringing [Li, 2010] to my attention and for many conversations around Li's conjecture. Many years ago (around 1991-92) Vikram had explained to me his paper with V. Srinivas (see [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987]), and more recently during my visit to India in 2012 we had many lucid conversations on topics of common interest (we were studying some questions on fundamental group schemes). I was amazed by his incredible energy and zest for mathematics while facing an illness which ultimately took him from us.

I proved Theorem 2.4 while I was on a visit to RIMS, Kyoto in 2011. I thank RIMS, Kyoto for providing excellent hospitality and I am grateful to Shinichi Mochizuki providing me with an opportunity to visit RIMS. I thank KeZheng Li for answering many of my elementary and naive questions about his papers [Li, 1991, 2010], and for his comments and corrections. I thank Brian Conrad for pointing out [Yu, 2003].

2. Characterization of abelian varieties

In this section I give a crystalline characterization of abelian varieties in the class of smooth, projective varieties with trivial tangent bundle. My characterization requires the following two definitions.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a smooth, projective variety over an algebraically closed field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$. I say that X is a *minimally Mazur-Ogus variety* if X satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion free,
(2) Hodge to de Rham sequence degenerates in dimension one.

Remark 2.2. Conditions underlying Mazur-Ogus varieties were introduced in [Ogus, 1979] where a number of their properties are studied, the nomenclature, I believe, is due to Torsten Ekedahl. A smooth, projective variety X is a Mazur-Ogus variety if $H^*_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free and Hodge de Rham spectral sequence degenerates.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a smooth, projective variety over an algebraically closed field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$. I say that X is a *Picard-Hodge symmetric variety* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) Picard scheme of X is smooth,
(2) Hodge symmetry holds in dimension one.

The main theorem of this section is the following characterization theorem alluded to in the Introduction.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be any smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) X is minimally Mazur-Ogus,
(2) X is Picard-Hodge symmetric,
(3) X is an abelian variety.

Proof. Let us prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let us begin with (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Assume that X is minimally Mazur-Ogus. The fact that $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free implies $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced (see [Illusie, 1979]) and by the universal coefficient theorem for crystalline cohomology one sees that

$$H^1_{cris}(X/W) \otimes_W k \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1_{dR}(X/k). \tag{2.5}$$

As Hodge to de Rham degenerates in dimension one, one sees that

$$\dim(H^1_{dR}(X/k)) = h^{0,1} + h^{1,0}. \tag{2.6}$$

Reducedness of Picard variety means that

$$\dim(H^1_{cris}(X/W) \otimes_W k) = 2h^{0,1} \tag{2.7}$$

and the degeneration of Hodge de Rham mean that

$$2h^{0,1} = h^{1,0} + h^{0,1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus one sees that

$$h^{1,0} = h^{0,1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Putting all this together one sees that X is Picard-Hodge symmetric variety. Thus one sees that (1)⇒(2).

Now I prove (2)⇒(3). Suppose that X is Picard-Hodge symmetric variety and X has trivial tangent bundle so $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ has dimension $n = \dim(X)$. As X is Picard-Hodge symmetric one sees that

$$h^{0,1} = h^{1,0} = \dim(X). \tag{2.10}$$

Thus $\dim(\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)) = \dim(X)$ and by hypothesis of (2) $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced. Hence the Picard variety is also the Albanese variety: $\mathrm{Pic}^{\,0}(X) = \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ and in particular

$$\dim(X) = \dim(\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)) = \dim(\mathrm{Alb}(X)).$$

Let $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ be the Albanese morphism. By [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987, Lemma 1.4] one sees that the Albanese morphism $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is a smooth surjective morphism with connected fibres and $\Omega^1_{X/\mathrm{Alb}(X)} = 0$. So $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is a finite, surjective étale morphism with connected fibres and hence it is an isomorphism.

Now it remains to prove that (3)⇒(1). This is standard (see [Illusie, 1979]). □

The following corollary of [Deligne and Illusie, 1987] and Theorem 2.4 is immediate as one has Hodge de Rham degeneration in dimensions $\leq p-1$ for any p (and hence in dimension one for any $p \geq 2$).

Corollary 2.11. *Let X/k be a smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle. Suppose X satisfies the following:*

(1) $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsionfree,
(2) X lifts to W_2.

Then X is an abelian variety.

Remark 2.12. Let me point out that for the Igusa surface ($p = 2, 3$), $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is not torsion-free (but Hodge-de Rham degenerates in dimension one) and Hodge symmetry is true in dimension one, but $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is not reduced.

Remark 2.13. In his recent email to me, KeZheng Li has suggested that perhaps, any smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle and reduced Picard scheme is an abelian variety. This is certainly natural expectation. I include some comments on this question.

Firstly let me point out that there are two important numbers $\dim(X) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ which must be equal if this assertion holds. On the other hand even if $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced, it seems difficult to prove that these two numbers are equal without some additional crystalline torsion-freeness hypothesis. Note that the pull-back of one-forms on $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X) = \mathrm{Alb}(X)$, by $X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$, lands inside the subspace of closed one-forms $H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X)$ and all of the following inclusions

$$H^0(\mathrm{Alb}(X), \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Alb}(X)}) \subset H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X) \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$$

are strict in general. By [Illusie, 1979, Prop. 5.16, page 632] the hypothesis that $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsionfree is equivalent to reducedness of $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ and the equality $H^0(\mathrm{Alb}(X), \Omega^1_{\mathrm{Alb}(X)}) = H^0(X, Z_1\Omega^1_X)$. In particular the second inclusion does not become equality even if we assume $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsionfree, and so it is not possible to work with a simpler hypothesis: $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is reduced at the moment.

Secondly let me point out that the reducedness of Picard scheme controls only a part of the crystalline torsion which may arise in this situation. Torsion arising from non-reducedness of $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is of a fairly mild sort ("divisorial torsion" in the terminology of [Illusie, 1979]). But Ekedahl has shown that the self-product of the Igusa type surface with itself carries exotic torsion in H^3. It is possible that a similar example (of dimension bigger than two) exists in which $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ has exotic torsion, since there is a plethora of examples (see Theorem 3.6) in any dimension for $p = 2$ and one can probably use deformation theory to provide examples with subtler torsion behaviour.

So relaxing the conditions in Theorem 2.4 seems a bit too optimistic (to me) and at any rate requires a fuller understanding of the crystalline cohomology of varieties with trivial tangent bundles (which I do not possess).

It is possible to provide alternate formulations of Theorem 2.4, but I have chosen formulations which are easiest to deal with in practice.

3. Surfaces with trivial tangent bundle

Let X/k be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. The main theorem of this section is the following. This was conjectured by KeZheng Li in [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X/k be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$ and assume that the tangent bundle T_X of X is trivial. Then X is an abelian surface.*

Proof. As $T_X = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ one sees that $\Omega^1_X = O_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X$ and so $\Omega^2_X = \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus $c_1(X) = 0$ and also as T_X is trivial one sees that $c_2(X) = 0$. Now it is immediate by the adjunction formula (see [Hartshorne, 1977]) that X is a minimal surface of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 0$.

By Noether's formula $12\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = c_1^2 + c_2$ (see [Hartshorne, 1977]), one sees that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

This means

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0 = h^0 - h^{0,1} + h^{0,2}; \tag{3.3}$$

and as $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ by Serre duality one sees that $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X)$, and hence that

$$h^{0,1} = 2. \tag{3.4}$$

Next $c_2 = 0$ gives

$$c_2 = b_0 - b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4 = 2 - 2b_1 + b_2 = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus one sees that $b_1 \neq 0$ and one has $b_2 \neq 0$ because X is projective (the Chern class of any ample class is non-zero in $H^2_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$). Now b_1 is even as b_1 is the Tate module of the Albanese variety of X (which is reduced by definition). Thus one has $b_1 \geq 2$.

Then by [Bombieri and Mumford, 1977, Page 25] one sees that there are exactly two possibilities for the pair (b_1, b_2): either $(b_1, b_2) = (4, 6)$ or $(b_1, b_2) = (2, 2)$. If one is in the first case, by classification of [Bombieri and Mumford, 1977, Page 25] X is an abelian surface.

If not, one is in the second case. In this case one has $b_1 = 2$ so $q = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 2$. Thus one sees that $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is non-reduced and at any rate the surface X is hyperelliptic and as $p > 3$, classification (see [Bombieri and Mumford, 1977, Page 37]) shows that the order of

K_X must be one of $2, 3, 4, 6$ which is at any rate > 1. On the other hand one has $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus X cannot be hyperelliptic.

So one sees that the second case cannot occur and X is an abelian surface as asserted. □

By a *family of varieties with trivial tangent bundle* I mean a proper, flat 1-morphism of stacks $f : X \to M$, with M a Deligne-Mumford stack (over schemes over k) such that f is schematic and for every morphism of stacks $\mathrm{Spec}(k') \to M$ with $k' \supset k$ a field, the fibre product $X \times_M \mathrm{Spec}(k')$ is a geometrically connected, smooth, projective scheme over k' with trivial tangent bundle.

The construction of Igusa surface ([Igusa, 1955]) leads to the following (for another variant of this construction see Proposition 5.3). For $g \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{A}_g$ be moduli stack of abelian varieties of dimension g over k (see [Faltings and Ching-Li Chai, 1980, Fogarty and Mumford]). Let $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{ord}}$ be the dense open substack of ordinary abelian varieties in the moduli stack of abelian varieties of dimension g over k, more generally let $\mathcal{U}_g^{\geq 1}[p] \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ be the stack of abelian varieties of dimension g, with a point of order p.

Theorem 3.6. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p = 2$. Then for every $g \geq 2$, and for any $1 \leq r < g$, there exists a family, parameterized by $\mathcal{U}_r^{\geq 1}[p] \times \mathcal{A}_{g-r}$ of smooth, projective varieties of dimension g over k which are not abelian varieties and with trivial tangent bundles. In particular there is a family parameterized by $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{ord}}[p] \times \mathcal{A}_{g-r}$, of smooth, projective varieties of dimension g over k which are not abelian varieties and with trivial tangent bundles.*

Proof. First let me recall the following version of Igusa's construction (see [Igusa, 1955]). For additional variants of Igusa's construction see Proposition 5.3 below. Let B_1 be an abelian variety of dimension r over k with 2-rank at least one, let $t \in B_1[2](k)$ be a non-trivial two torsion point. Let B_2 be any abelian variety over k of dimension $g - r$. Then consider the Igusa action on $A = B_1 \times B_2 \to B_1 \times B_2$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x + t, -y)$. Then this gives an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on A which is fixed point free and

$$H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)^{\mathbb{Z}/2} = H^0(A, \Omega^1_A), \tag{3.7}$$

as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts by translation on the first factor and so acts trivially on one forms of B_1 and on the second factor the action on the space of one forms of B_2 is by $-1 = 1$ and hence is trivial on the space of one forms on the second factor as well. Let X be the quotient of A by this $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action. Then T_X is trivial (as $H^0(X, T_X) = H^0(A, T_A)$). On the other hand by Igusa, $\mathrm{Alb}(X) = B_1/\langle t \rangle$ and so X is not an abelian variety and $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is not reduced.

Now one simply has to note that one can carry out Igusa's construction on the universal abelian scheme over the moduli stack of abelian schemes (of the above sort). □

For $p = 3$ the result is a little weaker, by simply taking products with an abelian variety one gets:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $p = 3$ and k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p. Then for every $g \geq 2$, there exists a family of smooth, projective varieties of dimension g over k which are not abelian varieties and with trivial tangent bundle.*

Remark 3.9. Note that for the Igusa surface one has $\dim H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ so Hodge symmetry holds and Hodge-de Rham does degenerate at E_1 but $\mathrm{Pic}\,(X)$ is not reduced and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ has torsion. Varieties X, constructed as in Theorem 3.6 from ordinary abelian varieties, have the property that they are ordinary with trivial tangent bundle;

one has lifting to W_2 (by [Joshi, 2007, Theorem 9.1] of V. B. Mehta) and hence Hodge-de Rham degenerates in dimension $< p$ (by [Deligne and Illusie, 1987]), but $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is not torsion-free. Thus these varieties are neither Picard-Hodge symmetric nor are they minimally Mazur-Ogus.

4. Ordinary varieties with trivial tangent bundle

I give a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *X is ordinary and minimally Mazur-Ogus,*
(1′) *X is ordinary and Picard-Hodge symmetric,*
(2) *X is Frobenius split and minimally Mazur-Ogus,*
(2′) *X is Frobenius split and Picard-Hodge symmetric,*
(3) *X is ordinary and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free,*
(3′) *X is Frobenius split and $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free,*
(4) *X is an ordinary abelian variety.*

Proof. The equivalences (1) $\iff$ (1′) and (2) $\iff$ (2′) are clear from the proof of Theorem 2.4. The equivalence (3) $\iff$ (3′) is [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987, Lemma 1.1]. The equivalence (1) $\iff$ (2) is immediate from [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987, Lemma 1.1] as X is ordinary if and only if X is Frobenius split. Now (2) $\implies$ (3) is clear from the Definition 2.1 and by [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987]. Now to prove (3) $\implies$ (4). This is immediate from Theorem 2.4, provided one proves that Hodge de Rham spectral sequence degenerates in dimension ≤ 1. In other words one has to show that the hypothesis of (3) implies that X is minimally Mazur-Ogus. This is proved as follows. Any smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle is ordinary if and only if it is Frobenius split (see [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987, Lemma 1.1]). A result of V. B. Mehta (see [Joshi, 2007, Theorem 9.1]) says that a Frobenius split variety X lifts to W_2 and hence Hodge de Rham degenerates in dimension $\leq p-1$ by [Deligne and Illusie, 1987, Corollaire 2.4]. Hence one has degeneration in dimension one for any $p \geq 2$. Hence hypothesis of (3) imply that X is Mazur-Ogus. So the assertion (3) $\implies$ (4) follows from Theorem 2.4. Now (4) $\implies$ (1) is standard (see [Illusie, 1979]). □

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a smooth, projective, ordinary variety, with trivial tangent bundle. Then X is an (ordinary) abelian variety if and only if $H^2_{cris}(X/W)$ is torsion-free.*

5. New proof of Li's Theorem

In this section I give a new proof of Li's Theorem (see [Li, 1991, 2010]) and prove the following refinement.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle and suppose $A \to X$ is Galois an étale cover by an abelian variety. I say that $A \to X$ is a minimal Galois étale cover of X is there is no factorization of $A \to X$ into étale morphisms $A \to A' \to X$ with A' an abelian variety and $A' \to X$ Galois.

Since an abelian variety A has a non-trivial fundamental group, non-minimal étale covers exist if $G \neq \{1\}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let X/k be a smooth, projective, ordinary variety with trivial tangent bundle.*

(1) Either X is an abelian variety, or
(2) $p = 2$ and X has a minimal Galois étale cover by an abelian variety with Galois group of exponent p (i.e every element is of order p).

Proof. Let X be as in the statement of the theorem and suppose X is not an abelian variety. By [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987] there exists an ordinary abelian variety A/k and a finite, Galois étale morphism $A \to X$ with Galois group G of order a power of p which acts freely on X. By passing to a quotient of G if needed, one may assume that $A \to X$ is a minimal Galois étale cover of X. In particular A carries fixed point-free automorphisms $\sigma : A \to A$ of order $d = p^m$ a power of p. If $d = 1$ for every element of G then this is already case (1) so there is nothing to do; if $d = 2$ for every element of G then one is in case (2) so again there is nothing to prove. So assume $d = p^m \geq 3$ for some element $\sigma \in G$.

Then, by [Lange, 2001, Lemma 3.3] (the proof given there is characteristic free–and the argument is sketched below for convenience), there are abelian varieties A_1, A_2 such that A is isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$ and that $\sigma|_{A_1}$ is a translation, and $\sigma|_{A_2}$ is an automorphism (possibly with fixed points) of order a power of d. Indeed, write $\sigma = t_x \circ \sigma'$ where t_x is a translation, σ' an automorphism of order a power of d and one may take A_1 to be the connected component of $\ker(1 - \sigma')$ and $A_2 = \text{image}(1 - \sigma')$. As A is ordinary, so are A_1 and A_2. One assumes, without loss of generality, that σ' is a homomorphism of A_2. Now (A_2, σ') admits a canonical Serre-Tate lifting to $W(k)$ (see [Mehta and Srinivas, 1987, Theorem 1(2) of Appendix]), and in particular a lifting (B_2, σ') of (A_2, σ') to complex numbers exists. So starting with X one has arrived at an abelian variety B_2 over $W(k)$ and an automorphism $\sigma' : B_2 \to B_2$ of finite order, with possibly finitely many fixed points. Replacing B_2 by a subabelian variety if needed, one may assume that σ' is not a translation on any subvariety of B_2.

Now I proceed by an algebraic variant of [Birkenhake and Lange, 2004, Proposition 13.2.5 and Theorem 13.3.2]. This is done as follows. Let $\Phi_d(X)$ be the d–cyclotomic polynomial. So $\Phi_d(X)|(X^d - 1)$ and $\Phi_d(X)$ is irreducible and the primitive d-th roots of unity are its only roots. Let f be the endomorphism $\frac{\sigma'^d - 1}{\Phi_d(\sigma')}$ of B_2, i.e., consider the polynomial

$$f(X) = \frac{X^d - 1}{\Phi_d(X)} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

and consider the endomorphism $f := f(\sigma') : B_2 \to B_2$. Consider the subvariety

$$B_3 = f(B_2) \subset B_2.$$

Then B_3 is an abelian variety annihilated by $\Phi_d(\sigma')$ and hence is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$-module. Moreover B_3 has good ordinary reduction at p, denoted A_3, and in particular $H^1_{dR}(B_3/W) = H^1_{cris}(A_3/W)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k)$-module which is finitely generated and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$-torsion free and hence projective of rank $k = 2\dim(B_3)/\phi(d)$. Now every finitely generated projective module over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$ of rank k is a direct sum of ideals $I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_k$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$. Using this one sees that, up to isogeny, one may factor B_3 into product of k abelian varieties $B_{3,1}, \ldots, B_{3,k}$ each of dimension $\phi(d)/2$ (over $\mathbb{C}$ this is proved by an analytic argument, attributed to an unpublished result of S. Roan, in [Birkenhake and Lange, 2004, Theorem 13.2.5]). Each of these varieties has (possibly up to isogeny) $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d] \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\text{B}_{3,\text{i}})$ and as $2\dim(B_{3,i}) = \phi(d)$, so each has complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$. Fix one of these abelian varieties, say, $B_{3,1}$. Then by a basic result [Lang, 1983, Theorem 3.1, page 8] $B_{3,1}$ is isotypic with a simple abelian

variety factor B with complex multiplication by a CM subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$. Further B has good ordinary reduction at p (by virtue of its construction from $B_{3,1}$ which has ordinary reduction at p).

On the other hand note that p is totally ramified in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ as $d = p^m \geq 3$, so p is also totally ramified in the CM subfield for B. Hence one sees, by [Yu, 2003] or [Chai et al., 2014, Prop. 3.7.1.6, Prop. 4.2.6], that the special fiber of B at p is isoclinic of positive slope (equal to half). So it cannot be ordinary. This is a contradiction.

Thus $d = p^m \leq 2$ and if X is not an abelian variety then one is in case (2). This completes the proof. □

If A is an abelian variety then A acts on itself by translations. In particular translation by a non-trivial point of order p is an automorphism of A of order p. In what follows I say that an automorphism $\rho : A \to A$ is a *non-trivial automorphism* if ρ is not a pure translation. Before proceeding let me point out the following variant of [Igusa, 1955].

Proposition 5.3. *For every algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p = 2$ or $p = 3$, and for every $n \geq 1$ and for every integer $N > n$, there exists a smooth, projective variety X/k, of $\dim(X) = N$, with trivial tangent bundle and a minimal Galois étale cover with $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$.*

Proof. Let $A, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ be abelian varieties over k satisfying the following conditions:

(1) Let $\rho_i : A_i \to A_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, be a non-trivial automorphism of order p, such that for every i the subspace of ρ_i-invariant one forms $H^0(A_i, \Omega^1_{A_i})^{\langle \rho_i \rangle} = H^0(A_i, \Omega^1_{A_i})$,
(2) and one has $\dim(A) + \dim(A_1) + \cdots + \dim(A_n) = N$;
(3) suppose A has p-rank at least one.

For $p = 2$ any abelian varieties $A, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ satisfying the last two conditions satisfy the first with the automorphism $\rho_i : A_i \to A_i$ being $\rho_i(x) = -x$ for all $x \in A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The condition on invariant forms is trivially satisfied as $-1 = +1$ because $p = 2$.

For $p = 3$ consider an elliptic curve E/k with a non-trivial automorphism of order $p = 3$. Let $A_i = E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The condition on invariants is trivially satisfied as $\mathbb{Z}/p = \mathbb{Z}/3$ operates unipotently on $H^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ and as any unipotent action has a non-zero subspace of invariants and as $H^0(E, \Omega^1_E)$ is one dimensional, all one forms are invariant under this non-trivial automorphism of order three.

Thus for any $p = 2, 3$ one has abelian varieties satisfying all the three conditions. Let $t \in A[p]$ with $t \neq 0$ be a point on A of order p. Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^n$ and consider its elements as vectors $(g, g_2, \ldots, g_{n-1})$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}/p$ and let G operate on

$$B = A \times A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$$

as follows:

$$(1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \cdot (x, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x + t, \rho_1(x_1), \rho_2^{g_2}(x_2), \ldots, \rho_n^{g_n}(x_n)),$$

and with the usual convention $\rho_i^0 = 1$ (note the asymmetry in my notation and construction– this is intended to include Igusa surfaces for $n = 1, N = 2$). Then G acts free of fixed points and the quotient $X = B/G$ is a smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle with minimal étale cover with Galois group G and $\dim(X) = N$. □

Remark 5.4. Let me give an example of an abelian variety A in characteristic $p > 3$ with $\dim(A) > 1$ and a non-trivial automorphism $\rho : A \to A$ of order p, which shows that the condition on space of invariants is not satisfied in general. Let A be the Jacobian of the

hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = x^p - x$. Then the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y)$ of $y^2 = x^p - x$ is an automorphism of order p (and hence of A). Using a standard basis for computing forms, one checks that the subspace of invariant forms is not of dimension equal to $\dim(A)$.

6. Variants of Li's Conjecture

In [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1] it was conjectured that for $p > 3$ every smooth, projective variety with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety. Let me remark that the construction in Proposition 5.3 also works for $p > 3$, *except* for the fact that I do not know how to construct abelian varieties satisfying the hypothesis on invariant forms in condition (1) above. But it is possible that abelian varieties satisfying conditions (1)–(3) in the proof of Proposition 5.3 might exist for sufficiently large p. Hence in the light of this remark and Theorem 2.4 it seems to me that perhaps Conjecture of [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1] needs to be modified. In fact, I propose two separate conjectures, depending on whether one fixes the characteristic or one fixes the dimension. Both the conjectures should be true. The fixed dimension version is inspired by [Liedtke, 2009]. I note that Conjecture 6.1 replaces [Li, 2010, Conjecture 4.1].

Conjecture 6.1 (Fixed Dimension Version)**.** Let $d \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then there exists an integer $n_0(d) \geq 1$ with the following property: for any smooth, projective variety X/k of dimension d over an algebraically closed field k and with trivial tangent bundle is an abelian variety if $p > n_0(d)$. For $d = 1$, $n_0(d) = 1$; for $d = 2$, one has $n_0(d) = 3$ (by Theorem 3.1).

Before I state the fixed characteristic version, let us make the following elementary observation.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists an integer $n_1(p) \geq 0$ with the following property. For any smooth, projective variety X of dimension d over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. If $d < n_1(p)$ then X is an abelian variety.*

Proof. Suppose, for a given p, there exists a smooth, projective variety Z with trivial tangent bundle which is not an abelian variety. Then for every integer $n \geq \dim(Z)$, there exists a variety Y of this sort with $\dim(Y) = n$. Indeed one may simply take $Y = Z \times E^{n-\dim(Z)}$ for any elliptic curve E. So take a variety Z with the above properties of the smallest dimension and let $n_1(p) = \dim(Z)$. If no such variety Z exists one can simply take $n_1(p) = 0$. Then every smooth projective variety X of dimension $\dim(X) < n_1(p)$ is an abelian variety by construction. □

For $p = 2, 3$, $n_1(p) = 2$ by Theorem 3.1. The following is the fixed characteristic version of the conjecture.

Conjecture 6.3 (Fixed Characteristic Version)**.** Let p be any fixed prime number. The number $n_1(p)$ constructed in Lemma 6.2 has the property that $n_1(p) \geq 4$ for $p \geq 5$.

References

Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange. *Complex Abelian Varieties*. Springer, 2004.

E. Bombieri and D. Mumford. Enriques' classification of surfaces in characteristic p, II. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 23–42, Tokyo, 1977. Iwanami Shoten.

Ching-Li Chai. Mumfords example of non-flat Pic^τ. Unpublished, http://www.math.upenn.edu/ chai/papers_pdf/mumford_ex.pdf.

Ching-Li Chai, Brian Conrad, and Frans Oort. *Complex multiplication and lifting problems*, volume 197 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Math. Society, 2014.

Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie. Relévements modulo p^2 et decomposition du complexe de de Rham. *Invent. Math.*, 89(2):247–270, 1987.

Gerd Faltings and Ching-Li Chai. *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, volume 22 of *Ergebnisse de mathematik und ihrer grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, 1980.

John Fogarty and David Mumford. *Geometric Invariant Theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer.

R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Number 52 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

Jun ichi Igusa. Some problems in abstract algebraic geometry. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 41:964–967, 1955.

Luc Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 12:501–661, 1979.

Kirti Joshi. Exotic torsion, frobenius splitting and the slope spectral sequence. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 50(4):567578, 2007.

Serge Lang. *Complex multiplication*, volume 255 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, New York, first edition, 1983.

Herbert Lange. Hyperelliptic varieties. *Tohoku Math. Jour.*, 53:491–510, 2001.

KeZheng Li. Actions of group schemes. *Compositio Math.*, 80:55–74, 1991.

KeZheng Li. Differential operators and automorphism schemes. *Science China Mathematics*, 53(9):2363–2380, 2010.

Christian Liedtke. A note on non-reduced Picard schemes. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 213:737741, 2009.

V. B. Mehta and V. Srinivas. Varieties in positive characteristic with trivial tangent bundle. *Comp. Math.*, 64:191–212, 1987.

A. Ogus. Supersingular $K3$-crystals. *Asterisque*, 64:3–86, 1979.

Chia-Fu Yu. The isomorphism classes of abelian varieties of CM-type. *J. Pure and Applied Algebra*, 187:305–319, 2003.

Math. department, University of Arizona, 617 N Santa Rita, Tucson 85721-0089, USA.
E-mail address: kirti@math.arizona.edu